

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,720	10/29/2001	Aaron Dew	50R4792 2640		
7	7590 05/02/2006		EXAMINER		
Rogitz & Associates			PARRY, CHRISTOPHER L		
Suite 3120 750 B Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92101			2623		
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/003,720	DEW ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Chris Parry	2623

	Chris Parry	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f).  on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1  ension and the corresponding amount  hortened statutory period for reply orig  than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19-20.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			•			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 raises new issues not previously considered and therefore would require further search and consideration as to the merits.

CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800